

Following a public call for nominations in March 2015, 28 nominations were received from interested landowners. Six sites were shortlisted and went to a 120 day consultation (November 2015 to March 2016) including two Kimba sites, 'Pinkawillinie' and 'Cortlyne' in South Australia. Neither site was progressed at the conclusion of this consultation period. The sites received 582 submissions throughout the consultation period.

This table provides only those responses (115) lodged through the Department of Industry, Innovation and Science's consultation hub (<https://consult.industry.gov.au/>) during the 120 day period and elected for their submission to be published. To ensure individual privacy is maintained, names and other personal information that may identify people have been redacted. Only key statements have been provided.

Site	Sentiment	In Confidence?	Views of persons from the local communities where the seven land nominations are located and other interested persons	Date Submitted
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	I have researched this proposed facility and am of the opinion that for myself, my young family and the local community, no matter what financial sweeteners the govt dangles in front of us this dump will not be welcome in the community of Kimba.	21/11/2015
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	 I am strongly opposed to this site being chosen as a Radioactive waste facility, it directly effect this family of mine in so many ways. It immediately decreases the value of their land that their family has owned for over 100 years, which is an immediate and ongoing spin off. Then there is the unknown of Intermediate Level waste being store in a relative lowly guarded facility. I can not for the life of me understand why a Government would even think about storing such product in fertile productive land, that families work in, play in and be productive tax paying residents of Australia. I strongly oppose to this Radioactive Facility being on any farming or grazing land on Eyre Peninsula.	2/12/2015
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	 I choose to live here and not cities as I believe it IS SAFER to bring up children in the country. Not thinking that the Government would even consider putting a RADIOACTIVE WASTE SITE in fertile farming land. Rowan Ramsey promised people that if there was enough objections it would not go ahead, and there is more that don't want it I can assure you. I believe in everything there is space for human error, whether it is in the transportation or storage. And what happens then if something goes wrong, who is to blame? At one stage asbestos was fine, now look. Rowan Ramsey keeps saying publicly that it is low radioactive waste, whereas it is proposed low-intermediate radioactive waste, so he is sending the wrong message! As a young mother I have many healthy issue questions that I want answered but I know you do not have the answer as there is no other site compatible with that. I strongly oppose against any radioactive waste being dumped on the Eyre Peninsula or South Australia.	7/12/2015
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	I understand the proposed facility is safe, but government Acts can be changed and we have the ability to develop technology to enable the storage of high level waste and if we have a nuclear facility here in Kimba we then are the most likely place to get a high level one. Also... The damage that perception can cause is massive. If it is perceived by some that the nuclear waste will taint the crops this is enough to cause a drop in both grain and land prices. Something we, as farmers, can not financially cope with. The potential damage is not outweighed by the potential gains - only \$10 million dollars and 25 jobs! With the cost of building and maintaining infrastructure \$10 million wouldn't be enough to cover the support the business, let alone compensate the town. 200 jobs would be a fairer "reward" for hosting such an unpopular facility.	8/12/2015
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	I am strongly opposed to both sites in our district. Kimba is our home and we love our district very much. A site such as the proposed could have detrimental consequences for our busniess'. I do not believe this will benefit our town in any way. WE ARE SAYING NO!!!!!!! Please listen we are begging for our the sites in our town to be taken from your short list now.	8/12/2015
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	I am a Kimba community residence. It has been my observation, that the community at large seems to be against the idea, however, there has not been enough public consultation to really gauge how the community feels at a whole. Not once has there been a vote. There has been no information provided to give confidence from a government level that policies or constitutions will not be changed, or standards or promises broken. In my view, the community or the region is not a logical place for a radioactive site of any catagorisation. There is plenty of other more suitable land sites. I ask the question, why does the government not ask the profiteers from the intermediate level waste to be responsible for the waste as part of their contract to sell. What happened to the 'chain of responsibility'.	8/12/2015

National Radioactive Waste Management Facility Project - Kimba Public Submissions 2016 - Coralline and Pinkawillinie

Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	<p>██████████ I think it's absolutely disgusting that the Liberal party has elected to contemplate placing the radioactive waste in prime agriculture country that is fighting to stay GM free. The correct place because of the chain of responsibility should be at Roxby Downs where they have the ability to put all radioactive/nuclear waste in the ground in concrete stopes. It is a very weak government that cannot dictate to BHP good corporate citizen behaviour. The whole way the process has been managed shows a cowardly government, to make an incentive of \$12m applying to an agricultural town such as Kimba that is in physical decline will just add to the issues. The community is already divided and it has only just become a discussion point.</p>	8/12/2015
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No		10/12/2015
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	<p>██████████ I am totally against this toxic waste dump in or around Kimba. I have ████████ children whom I don't want around this type of dump at all. If you put a dump in or around Kimba I will have no other alternative but to move my immediate family away from Kimba and will also be heavily encouraging the rest of my family to follow us away from our local town. THIS TOXIC DUMP IS "NOT" WANTED OR NEEDED IN KIMBA SOUTH AUSTRALIA</p>	10/12/2015
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>I am in favour of the project to go ahead. I have listened to reasons for and against and i do not feel any threat to our community from this project provided all regularity bodies are involved and tick of on it. I also believe the community has far more to gain from this project than lose.</p>	10/12/2015
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No		21/12/2015
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	<p>I strongly oppose any kind of facility being built within the Kimba District. ██████████ I do not want a waste facility near by. I feel it will be detrimental to the farmers whose produce could be jeopardized when selling to the export market. This type of facility should not be built anywhere near food producing land. Our town does not need to be tainted by the perception such a facility would bring.</p>	15/01/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	<p>My view is that I am wholly against the development of ANY industrial facility on the nominated site at Coralline. The negative impact associated from the perception that a Radioactive Waste Facility brings to a community has already been seen in our region, and is likely to only worsen if this facility is continued to be considered.</p>	24/01/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	<p>Our family is opposed to the further shortlisting of either of the sites in the district of Kimba (Cortylinye and Pinkawillinie). While we acknowledge the suggested benefits that have been proposed, these are neither definite or enough incentive to host such a controversial facility. We believe that this facility, while not denying it is necessary in Australia, is not complementary to our primary agricultural industry on which we are utterly reliable. The possible effects that may flow from wider negative perceptions of a radioactive waste dump are unknown and therefore a great risk to our profitability, our land prices, our marketing, etc. The shortlisting of these sites has severe implications for those who will be expected to live around the facility, and we are concerned for their physical health (even though the risks are low, there are always accidents) and especially their mental health. We have already seen the mental health of people in our community declining rapidly as a result of this round of shortlisting, and we are very concerned about this going forward. We believe that this is not an appropriate area to host this facility, and do not want to see either site shortlisted again.</p>	2/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No		
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>As a resident of the Kimba community, I wholeheartedly support the proposal to develop a low level nuclear waste repository in the Kimba District, and look forward to the many and varied benefits it will bring to our community and region.</p>	11/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	<p>I am strongly opposed to the facility being placed in Kimba at either of these two sites and in any site as the process has brought nothing but total devastation to all communities involved. The process in which the government has used has been devastating to our rural community. It has caused extreme anxiety, stress, depression, sadness and, panic attacks in members of our community. The wellbeing of the communities has not been considered at all by the government. The team that came to visit communities and give information has been completely one sided - not independent at all as promised by our local member Rowan Ramsey. It has been very clear that this is going to be pushed onto a community by the lack of respect for the communities involved and disregard for their health and wellbeing. The consultation team did not even take names or numbers of people when they visited and stated their opposition so how can they give a true indication of how the community is feeling when this information isn't even recorded? Also how can a limited telephone survey of set ages and gender give a true indication of the communities stance on the facility? This Radioactive Waste Facility should not be placed in agricultural land which is clearly stated in your objectives and criteria; as the sites are located on high value natural resources - farming and dams. The sites are also located within an area that is going to be continued to be used for agriculture! If neighbours do not want this facility it should not be forced upon them - once again we have been let down by our local member Rowan Ransey who stated that neighbours would have final veto rights and this has not occurred! This process has been the complete opposite of open and transparent and is being imposed on communities that do not want the facility to be built in their towns. Also the government has yet to provide any guarantees associated with the site for example the definite number of jobs that would be provided by the site. It is a communities right to have access to health services, telephone and Internet services. I find it disrespectful to offer this as a "bribe" to communities when it is services the government should already be providing. The perception of radioactive waste is real and could have an impact on or clean and green farming image on the Eyre Peninsula. There is plenty of land available in Australia that is not used for agricultural and the government should be investigating these options. This process has been completely backwards - a community should not have to prove that it does not want this facility.</p>	13/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	<p>I do not want to have this radioactive facility near Kimba or anywhere in South Australia!</p>	10/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	<p>I say NO to a radioactive waste facility in Kimba or SA. I don't want a radioactive waste facility on agricultural land.</p>	10/03/2016

National Radioactive Waste Management Facility Project - Kimba Public Submissions 2016 - Coralline and Pinkawillinie

			<p>I don't want to live near a radioactive waste dump or bring my children up near one.</p> <p>This process is flawed and is dividing our community.</p> <p>Stop the process now and start again!!</p> <p>Again, I say NO to a radioactive waste facility in Kimba or SA!!</p>	
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	I live in Kimba and do not want this radioactive facility to be built here or anywhere in South Sustralia.	11/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	Initially we were against the facility but following the consultation process and listening and through more information gained. I believe that the positives of the facility will out way the negatives.	10/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	As a resident of Kimba SA I wish to state that I support the proposed radioactive waste facility near Kimba.	10/03/2016
			I believe that there is no danger in having it here and can see advantages and opportunities for the district	
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No		17/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>Many of my school friends have had to leave for employment as the opportunities are less in a small declining country town. I would love to be able to stay and start my own business but with the declining population I feel that this won't be a possibility. I support the two nominations within the Kimba district and personally feel this will benefit our town.</p>	10/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>I am unsure of my career pathway but I would like to have many options. Either gaining employment locally or to move to Adelaide and further my study at university. I feel that if we go ahead to the next stage of this nuclear facility I will be able to have more options for my career. I vote yes to the two nominated sites within the Kimba district. We need jobs and facilities for the younger generations.</p>	10/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	I am strongly apposed to for Coralline and Pinkawillini to proceed to the next round.	10/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	In one of the most viable, clean, environmentally rich areas of Eyre Peninsula the proposed sites are not only dangerous but will prove detrimental for future generations. Maralinga mean anything?? Perhaps the significant number of cancer cases on Eyre Peninsula should be investigated, as local Sue Haseldine raised at an international conference the links are undeniable. Why would we want a toxic dump anywhere near our homes, children or agricultural lands? If it's harmless put it in the city so transportation of materials is not a risk for country CFS, SES, SAPOL and road users. You don't have all the answers so please reflect on what our area has learnt... nuclear power or waste is dangerous, respect our right to be safe, protect the environment it's our legacy.	11/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	I 100% DO NOT SUPPORT THE NUCLEAR WASTE FACILITY IN KIMBA, OR ANYWHERE IN A POPULATED AREA IN SOUTH AUSTRALIA!! IT'S AN ABSOLUTE DISCRACE!!	11/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	I do not want this radioactive facility at either of the Kimba Sites. It is causing us all much distress! We are considering leaving Kimba if this goes ahead.	10/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	As the future generation whom will be affected I do not agree with the proposal. I admit I do not have 100% of the information that is out there, but in the scheme of things it is a radioactive wasteland in an agricultural production area. Why would anyone, let alone the State Government want to jeopardise a local community town who is so well known for what they do? Kimba is a beautiful town with beautiful community members who for some, can now no longer look at each other or speak to each other. The wasteland doesn't even exist yet, and you can see how much of damage it has already done. If it were your small, local hometown which you loved, would you want it? Or would you want your grandchildren to be raised near it? I don't think so. Please don't do any more damage to such a beautiful home town.	11/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	I am totally against a nuclear waste facility on agricultural land at Kimba and surrounding districts.	11/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	We totally oppose the idea of a nuclear waste dump at Kimba. Prime agricultural land being used to house nuclear waste is ridiculous. There are too many unknowns and long term effects that make this land an unsuitable place for this facility. We are very concerned the effect it will have on agriculture on the Eyre Peninsula.	11/03/2016

Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>[REDACTED] I am in favour of the minister accepting both the Coralline and Pinkawillinie nominations for the proposed National radioactive waste facility.</p> <p>I do feel that you are short changing the Kimba community by only offering 10 million when the Indigenous communities were offered 12 million several years ago.</p>	20/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>As a resident of Kimba I am sure that our community would have generations of security of provision of education, health services, transport routes, and other services.</p> <p>There is a map bandied around by members of the group which is opposed to the facility, which shows overwhelmingly that farmers do not want the facility. [REDACTED] I know the map doesn't accurately portray the farming communities view, nor consider the views of local community members who live in Kimba.</p> <p>The threats to local businesses, and calls for support made by members of the No Radioactive Waste on Agricultural Land in Kimba or SA is fracturing our community. I believe our community has changed forever.</p>	11/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	<p>It is irresponsible to even consider jeopardising the main industry in Kimba, the Agricultural industry which is worth millions of dollars to the state for what is very Limited short term gain for only a few of the towns residents. The risk of contamination or the the damage perception could do to the district will inflict untold damage on the Kimba community which depends on the continued viability of the agricultural industry. In the current climate people are becoming very aware of what the eat, this is indicated by how many countries around the world are banning Monsanto products. The Kimba Districts reputation for healthy food production will be comprised by being associated the the waste dump, produce and land values will be affected.</p>	11/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	<p>We believe this waste facility will significantly decrease the value of our land, which is our superannuation. The waste facility will carry a stigma which will impact on a persons future interest in purchasing our property should we ever wish to sell it. Why should we be at a loss over something that we don't want in our community. I cant see how 10 million dollars could be spent in the omanama community to increase land values without directly giving land holders payouts, to compensate them for this waste facility and the direct impact it will have on land values.</p>	11/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>I have lived in the kimba district [REDACTED] and during that such time I have witnessed a slow decline in our population and resources. I work within the town, [REDACTED]. Myself and my family rely on this town to support us. We are without a doctor, numbers declining in our schools. Our future is looking bleak, we need this repository otherwise kimba will be another little ghost town on the Eyre Peninsula</p>	20/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>I have witnessed a decline in the Kimba community. Farmers selling their land to neighbouring land holders. Houses for sale, businesses closing. We are without medical treatment. [REDACTED] The future is very grim in the Kimba district. We need this repository. We need jobs, Kimba needs a future this is ours!</p>	20/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No		
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>I believe that by Kimba having 2 nominated sites it has put Kimba on the map, it's given people the opportunity to explore a new industry that I one day hope will be part of the District. I see lots of positive outcomes from such a facility.</p> <p>I hope with this facility that it'll bring more families into the community, that current facilities will be upgraded, that there will be at least 1 if not 2 permanent doctors.</p> <p>With all the current young families in the town it would be nice for families not to have to travel 1.5-2.5hrs to seek medical attention for pregnancy/birth/postpartum.</p> <p>An upgrade to the school, having more subjects available so that kids don't have to live in Adelaide to access better schooling.</p>	20/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No		
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>I would like to see the process go ahead, as I think it will be beneficial to our community.</p>	20/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No		
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	<p>I strongly disagree with the placement of Nuclear Waste Management Centres on South Australian farming or grazing land. This type of facility should be put on Commonwealth land (as it is a Commonwealth problem) and not dumped on local farming communities. Whilst I understand that the current proposal is for low and medium level waste only, there are no guarantees that this will remain so into the future. There are serious concerns regarding the transport of nuclear waste through and past towns and farms and along school bus routes.</p> <p>I believe Roxby Downs should be the site of the proposed Nuclear Waste Management Centre as this is where a lot of the material originates and there are many areas that would be suitable.</p>	11/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No		20/02/2016

Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	We are long term residents of the Kimba District which is primarily known for grain and stock production. The services it has been suggested we may get if our district accepts the waste for the rest of Australia, are services most other Australian's accept ss their right.	11/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	We have all lived in this community for many years, and have slowly seen the town dwindle to what it is now and it will dwindle more. I see this as an opportunity to inject an industry into this town, bringing jobs and a future for our children, i am a big yes for this.	21/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	As a resident of Kimba I am sure that our community would have generations of security of provision of education, health services, transport routes, and other services. There is a map bandied around by members of the group which is opposed to the facility, which shows overwhelmingly that farmers do not want the facility. I was not asked how I felt before our farm was coloured green, and I believe that other farmers whose land is green are also in favour of the nomination. I know the map doesn't accurately portray the farming communities view, nor consider the views of local community members who live in Kimba. The threats to local businesses, and calls for support made by members of the No Radioactive Waste on Agricultural Land in Kimba or SA is fracturing our community. I believe our community has changed forever.	11/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	I think this town needs something innovative in order to keep the town alive. I can see more benefits of the proposed waste facility than I can see negatives. I hope for improved services in the town, fresh families in the area, an increase in tourism to the district and also the possibility of my sons benefitting from a science program at school.	21/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	I am from one of these locations	11/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	I think it would be good for the community, help provide extra services.	21/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	v 'Coralline – South Australia, 2051 Buckleboo Hundred Line Road, Coralline v 'Pinkawillinie – South Australia, 762 Peella Road, Pinkawillinie' The Pinkawillinie address is NOT IN THE KIMBA SHIRE BUT ON THE EDGE OF THE BOTH SHIRES OF WUDINNA AND KIMBA SHIRES. Stated in our local district Shire Maps and the Eyre Peninsula Regional Maps, whereby your map in Resources Booklet the site at Pinkawillinie is stated misleadingly of where the appropriate site is really at. [REDACTED] and don't want this Section of Mamblin or any AREA destroyed in having a NUCLEAR WASTE DUMP WITHIN 30KMS AWAY in the near NEIGHBOURHOOD FROM US. AND I DONT BELIEVE THIS IDEA OF A NUCLEAR DUMPING FACILITY SHOULD GO AHEAD IN MY DELEGANT ENVIRONMENTAL OF NATURAL FLORA AND FAUNA THAT CALL THIS AREA THEIR HOME WITHIN THE PARK AND SURROUNDING FARMING PROPERTIES. [REDACTED] I and many others need to stand up to Government Agencies, Scientists, Senators in Federal and State Departments. Thinking that Economics is better than • THE FIRST NATIONS PEOPLES OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA, THE BANGALA PEOPLE & THEIR ANCESTORS WHO ARE LIVING & LIVED THROUGHOUT THE UPPER EYRE PENINSULA, CARING AND PRESERVING MOTHER EARTH'S SOIL, MICRO-ORGASMS, INSECTS, REPTILES, NATIVE ANIMALS e.g. KANGAROOS, EMUS, BIRD LIFE • THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT e.g. Water levels, natural FIRES • PEOPLE'S LIVES & THEIR CHILDREN'S LIVES ARE AT RISK • THE AREA'S EMPLOYMENT HEALTH & SAFETY RISKS • WEATHER OCCURANCES THROUGHOUT THE PARK AND MID NORTH EYRE PENINSULA • e.g. EARTHQUAKES, TREMORS, STORMS with THUNDER & LIGHTNING • PROPERTY RESALE VALUES AND SALES IN BOTH SHIRES OF KIMBA, WUDINNA & SURROUNDING RURAL SECTORS of both TOWNS	11/03/2016

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> TRANSPORTING THE WASTE TO THE NOMINATED SITE BY TRUCK OR RAIL & HAVING ACCIDENTS along the EYRE HIGHWAY which has average 1500 vehicles daily travelling both directions through both Shires <p>There was a successful Campaign from 1998 to 2004, where in July on the 14th day of July 2004 the Federal Government and John Howard announced it was abandoning ANY PLANS TO ERECT several NUCLEAR RADIOACTIVE WASTE DUMPS here in SOUTH AUSTRALIA or any other place in Australia.</p> <p>But since the change of Government and Malcolm Turnbull came to power giving the task at hand to REVERSE THIS CAMPAIGN, the Federal Resources Minister Josh Frydenberg and his Staff TURNED THE TABLE and began the search to host a 'Nuclear Waste Dump' in the RURAL SECTORS OF AUSTRALIA.</p> <p>The process in which the Governments cohered and separated the Communities and Rural Farming Families that this land lies in is disgusting and with lies and spin created by those who don't realise that there are CARING PEOPLE who want to live and share many centuries raising their families and farming, native titles and caring for the NATURAL ENVIRONMENT THAT GIVE US THE OPPORTUNITY TO SHARE WITH.</p> <p>It doesn't matter what Radioactive Level this Nuclear Waste is in the World and Australian Governments need to find a more safer technique and solution in the Nuclear Processes.</p> <p>Meaning LEAVE THE URANIUM IN THE GROUND and FIND A MORE SAFER WAY TO DEAL WITH THE ONGOING MEDICAL PROBLEMS THAT THE WORLD AND AUSTRALIAN PEOPLE ARE SUFFERING FROM</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> STOP PUTTING CHEMICALS INTO MANUFACTURING OF FOODS, VEGETABLES AND CLOTHING THAT CAUSE THESE ILLNESSES. 	
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	I have concerns that kimba is relying solely on the agriculture industry but services within the community are decreasing as is the population and I fear without another source of employment Kimba will die a slow and lingering death. We already have a hospital without a permanent doctor, the numbers at the school are decreasing, over the last three decades we have seen services such as highways, Telstra, railways, etsa, etc leave and and kimbas hay day we had 5. Fuel outlets, various service stations, drapery/clothing stores, gift shops, another 2 supermarkets etc. it seems to me that as farms are bought up by the neighbours the businesses decrease as there are very few new families relocating to kimba.	22/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	We need it, the district is going backwards. Please build it in Kimba to sustain our district from oblivion.	22/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	As a resident in the Kimba community, I am for the construction of a nuclear waste disposal site at either of the two short listed sites in the area.	23/02/2016
			<p>If the site wasn't being placed here we are still facing the risk of our community diminishing. As it is there is nothing stoping people on the highway to even stay here, so we lack the tourism aspect that most small towns survive from. There are minimal jobs here for young people because business won't hire you as they can't afford the staff so unless you are prepared to be a farmer or pick a trade, and that's if your lucky to get an apprenticeship in the area, young people are forced to leave once they finish school. There is also no science to prove that having a facility here will damage crops or disturb live stock, or people.</p> <p>Farmers use a variety of pesticides to protect their crops which are highly poisonous and dangerous if not transported correctly and they are transported in the back of Utes down the Main Street. But people don't worry about that, yet we do have proof that pesticides can harm people. As a worried community member I would like to see the storage facility be built here, [REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED], and people travel to other towns for their health care. Soon everyone will if nothing is done.</p>	
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	I am in favour of the proposal for housing a low/med repository in the Kimba District.	24/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>I'm a Kimba community member and I would like to say I support this radioactive waste site</p> <p>I believe we have a lot in the community who support it however people are not game enough to voice this opinion due to certain group making it hard for everyone else</p>	25/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>The opportunities a Nuclear Waste facility could bring Kimba is paramount to our ever shrinking community. Farming is our main industry, but our community needs more to survive. Businesses are finding it tough, population is declining & we don't have employment outside of farming to offer young people.</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>I honestly believe a nuclear waste facility can only be positive for the Kimba community.</p>	25/02/2016

National Radioactive Waste Management Facility Project - Kimba Public Submissions 2016 - Coralline and Pinkawillinie

Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	Yes I am in full support of the facility going ahead in the Kimba district I can see huge benefits for our community.	10/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	I am fully in favour of a nuclear waste facility in Kimba	25/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	As a resident of the Kimba district I believe that agriculture alone cannot sustain the community long term. We need to look at alternative prospects for the long term benefits. This facility could provide our community with more employment opportunities hence not having so many people leave town for work. Young people have opportunities to return to their hometown after completing educations or alternatively we can provide extra educational opportunities within the town. This facility would also increase demand for the current struggling businesses - if we have more residents, then the local supermarket, butcher, newsagent, petrol station, banks, cafes, tyre fitters etc would have more customers so the range of products and services can grow, keep the locals employed (that are already working in those businesses). We would hopefully attract better health, aged care and associated services. These are all positive areas for us to gain or grow. I also believe it could create a boost for tourism. We already have the big galah, this could be an educational tourist attraction which would also boost the economy. Bring it to Kimba!!!!	25/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	I am quite comfortable with the process so far and would like to see more of how it unfolds. Can see many positives	25/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	As a member of the kimba community, I feel like this radioactive waste tacitly would be a positive thing to bring to our district. I definitely support the process continuing and hope the rest of the community can see the benefits this facility could bring	26/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No		
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No		26/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	I do believe that the proposal could be of benefit to our town, I believe Kimba needs another industry to help sustain our future, bring job opportunities and to help increase services and help sustain current business. It would also give the opportunity to increase the population, which is at the risk of decline. Decline in population then results in loss of services. I am concerned how this process has split the town and what it is doing to people health wise. I can understand the fears for the adjoining farm owners as they are concerned for their livelihood and what effects this will have on the value of their land and on their produce. I believe there is also the concern of the site being upgraded to high level.	27/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	As above... Solidly against any Nuclear dumping in SA.. And my votes will reflect my views.	27/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	I am in full support of both sites located within the District Council of Kimba	27/02/2016

Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>I am 100% in favour of the proposed radioactive waste facility in the Kimba District.</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>However, my interest in this instance is solely the Kimba District and its future. Over the past 16 years, I have observed a decline in services available in the Kimba area (most notably and of most concern, the absence of a full time Doctor) and a reduction in our population. I am confident that without the introduction of new industries, these trends will continue in the future.</p> <p>I have sought large amounts of information and invested countless hours researching and investigating the proposed Radioactive Waste Management Facility and consider myself well informed and well educated on this issue. I have absolutely no concerns about the safety of the proposed facility. I also hold no concerns that the proposed facility would negatively impact the Kimba District, its community or our grain/livestock industry.</p> <p>It is my opinion that, if successful, the proposed Radioactive Waste Management Facility could inject a much needed financial boost to our community. Other benefits including jobs, building a new industry, upgrades to facilities, creation of new facilities, maintenance of and introduction of new services to the area (just to name a few); could assist in this town prospering in the future.</p> <p>I am in complete support of the proposed Radioactive Waste Management Facility in the Kimba District.</p> <p>In recent months, following discussions with many of the residents of Kimba, I have been made aware that many people living in the Kimba area are also in support of this proposal. Unfortunately, many of these individuals currently feel unable to publicly voice their support due to fear of retribution from those who are opposed and who have voiced their opinions loudly and aggressively. This is a concern that I believe is valid and understandable having been at the forefront of this myself over the recent months. In addition, it has also become clear to me that some of those voicing their opinions against the proposal are basing their opinions on incorrect information being provided to them from individuals strongly opposed and/or the media.</p> <p>It is my belief that there is strong support for the proposed radioactive waste management facility in the Kimba area (most definitely myself included) and I truly hope that one of the Kimba sites nominated are successful in securing this facility and in return, the prospering future of the Kimba District.</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	27/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>I could be potentially working around the proposed radioactive facility as could my children. I believe as do many other people in the kimba district that this would pose no threat to our town or our livelihood. I would love to see kimba as a community at the very least get into the next round and potentially house this facility. We are a forward thinking community that could do a lot of good things with the fund that is to go with the facility.</p> <p>There may seem to be a lot of people against this proposal but don't be fooled, there is a group making a lot of noise and getting a fair bit of biased media to help them, but the fact of the matter is they are quickly becoming a minority.</p>	27/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>I own residential land in the township of Kimba. The waste facility will bring much needed economic development to the town . I take the advice of the scientists that there are low risks to the community and the adjoining sites . I'm sure that farming and the facility can co-exist . I fully support the establishment of the facility in one of the two sites near Kimba .</p>	28/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>Eager for the next phase of consultation process to go ahead for the KIMBA proposed sites. [REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED] I believe the positives are right up there how the proposed low level nuclear storage facility can benefit the town, the school in providing valuable topical learning topics and the possible upgrades that our small rural school so desperately needs. It would certainly keep the dying local businesses alive, and assist in reducing the financial pressures they are all feeling at the moment. The job prospects are hopeful, bringing employment and possibly more young families and students into the community. I believe the benefits of this project is what this small community needs to stay alive and continue growing rather than continuing down its dying path.</p>	28/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No		28/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No		28/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	Am in favour of a nuclear low to medium waste facility in the Kimba district	28/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	[REDACTED] I fully support the radioactive waste facility being built and operating in either one of our nominated sites in the Kimba district.	28/02/2016

National Radioactive Waste Management Facility Project - Kimba Public Submissions 2016 - Coralline and Pinkawillinie

Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	Very positive that Kimba is being considered. Would make a real difference. Can see ongoing growth, would love to see a large tourism centre focused on agriculture and the nuclear industry.	28/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	I strongly support the process the Minister is undertaking in the nominated area's. I feel the two stage shortlisting gives members of the community ample time to source the information required to make an informed decision. Much noise has been made about this process locally by a small number of people who are unwilling to engage constructively in the process. I feel their claims of fierce division within the community is largely overstated. The vast majority of the community who are for, against and undecided on the proposal are very respectful of each others point of view and are engaging happily with each other. I would like to see the Kimba district shortlisted so we as a community can explore in full the benefits this project will bring to our community and make a well informed decision as a community. I believe there will be overwhelming support if we can undertake this process.	28/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	I am from the community of Kimba, and as a Retiree would very much like to see this process continue. Our community is very much in need of an industry to see us into the future.	29/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	I am an elderly resident in the Kimba district. I would be very much in favour of this radioactive facility to happen here	29/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	I believe this would be a non farming interest for the local community, unfortunately we rely heavily on rainfall and whether it is going to be a good or bad season, it would be good to have another injection into the local community, it's not going to be mining at this point so I think this maybe another avenue. So having said this I hope we make it to the next round as I am in support of it. The school is demonising and they don't get excess funds for upgrading facilities so it would be great to be able to do this. Not to mention the doctor situation, so let's go forward and see how it goes.	29/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	██████████ I believe that the proposed waste storage site could be of great benefit to our town. With farms becoming bigger and population becoming smaller our town needs another type of industry to help it along. Many in our community are for the site, the ones against are in the minority but have been the most vocal. I look forward to kimba making it to the next level.	29/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	I support the siting of the national Low-Intermediate Level Radioactive Waste Management Facility in my hometown, Kimba, South Australia. ██████████ I feel that the benefits to our community through this project will be great. The potential for a permanent doctor, improvements to our school and aged care facilities and future employment opportunities are all very good reasons that I would like to see the Radioactive Waste Management Facility go ahead in Kimba.	29/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	I am in favour of the nominated property in the Kimba council district to be chosen into the next round for consideration.	29/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	As a resident of Kimba where two of the proposed sites are, I wish to express my support. Our community is declining rapidly due to modern day farming practices & technology. We need another industry to support businesses & keep young people in our local area. There are so many positive benefits it could bring, including infrastructure & improved services to all our current facilities. Many will argue that we have a very viable farming community, which we have. But the farming industry alone can no longer support any growth in our community & businesses. This proposal has my support all the way.	29/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	I don't think there is any negative just all positive	1/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	Having attended a local information session, read the resources provided and communicated with professional and local members, I feel adequately informed and support the nominations under consultation/consideration for this area.	2/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	I do not want this radioactive facility at either of the Kimba Sites. I have family living close to one of the proposed sites. It is causing us all much distress! We are considering leaving Kimba if this goes ahead.	10/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	I am fully supportive of the Nuclear Waste Facility if there are major monetary benefits & improved community services to the district and community.	4/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	I have attended Lucas Heights ANSTO facility and toured the complex. I was very impressed with the safety standards at this facility and saw nothing that gave rise to concern for this area. ██████████ I have no concerns with the safety standards involved with transporting these materials on our roads. I am fully supportive of such a waste facility for the Kimba area.	4/03/2016

Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Opposed	No	<p>[REDACTED]. I do not wish the proposed dump to go ahead as it has the potential to spoil their land and livelihood and also compromise their health.</p> <p>Also concerns of spillage and contamination into Macintyre Brook which flows into the Murray Darling system to South Australia.</p> <p>Our land and property values will decrease.</p> <p>Intermediate waste which has a long life will be placed with no time limit and no proposed site to go to.</p> <p>No area is really safe from the tourism aspect and no prior consultation.</p>	10/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>[REDACTED]. I am very in favour of this project going ahead & I feel very strongly about this great opportunity our community has been given.</p>	25/02/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>I would be very interested in the proposed sites going ahead in this town of Kimba. I believe the government funding could be used to boost our towns facilities.</p>	10/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No		
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>I support the national radioactive waste facility being built in Kimba. It will be good for jobs and not reliant on the agricultural industry. We must continue to produce and use nuclear medicine. I believe we have a responsibility to safely deal with the waste.</p> <p>Kimba is an ideal location. It is situated half way across Australia on National Highway 1. This is helpful being a route that supports overdimensional transport so it will be an easy and safe transport corridor. We have stable geology and low average rainfall. The small area required in no way impacts agricultural land in any real way.</p> <p>I am satisfied the site would be safely and professionally done. I hope the final site will be one of the two sites in the Kimba district.</p>	10/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>I am in favour of the facility.</p>	10/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No	<p>I am a resident of Kimba who can see negligible risks (whether safety, environmental or reputational) in the nuclear waste repository being hosted locally. On the contrary I see many benefits to both our region and the national interest by this repository being hosted on low value agricultural land in our district. Any new jobs created in our district, any new opportunities for science students to conduct work experience, any benefits such as provision of enhanced medical facilities (such as securing a resident doctor) will benefit our community that is struggling to fill sports teams, support viable local businesses etc etc. I feel that this is a generational opportunity that we should embrace.</p> <p>I do however concede that there are a few landowners adjoining the proposed sites who do not share these views. I feel that the Kimba community would almost universally embrace this great opportunity if collectively we could select a site in our district, surrounded by supportive landowners and ideally adjacent to native vegetation that would benefit greatly from improved management of threatening processes like feral pests and superabundant herbivores. The facility could then double as the hub for a physics/conservation science demonstration centre that would attract tourists to witness malleefowl working active mounds and possibly reintroduced high-profile conservation species.</p>	10/03/2016
Coralline and Pinkawillinie	Supportive	No		10/03/2016